## EXHIBIT B

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                  FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
 2
                            ATLANTA DIVISION
 3
    L. LIN WOOD, JR.
 4
                                             Docket Number
                         Plaintiff,
                                             1:20-CV-4651-SDG
 5
                    v.
 6
                                             Atlanta, Georgia
    BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, in his
                                             November 19, 2020
 7
     Official Capacity as Secretary of
     State of the State of Georgia;
    REBECCA N. SULLIVAN, in her
 8
     Capacity as Vice Chair of the
     Georgia State Election Board;
     DAVID J. WORLEY, in his Capacity
10
     as a Member of the Georgia State
     Election Board; MATTHEW MASHBURN,
     in his Official Capacity as a
11
    Member of the Georgia State
    Election Board; ANH LE, in her
12
     Official Capacity as a Member of
     the Georgia Election Board
13
14
                         Defendants
15
                    V.
16
     DEMOCRATIC PARTY OF GEORGIA, INC.,
     Democratic Party of Georgia; DSCC;
17
     DCCC; GEORGIA STATE CONFERENCE OF
18
     THE NAACP; GEORGIA COALITION FOR
     THE PEOPLES' AGENDA, INC.; HELEN
19
     BUTLER; JAMES WOODALL; and MELVIN
     IVEY
20
               Intervenor Defendants
2.1
22
            TRANSCRIPT OF PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY MOTION FOR
23
                      TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
               BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEVEN D. GRIMBERG
2.4
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25
```

the Court supporting that, nor any cognizable argument that that has happened.

And, finally, as Ms. McGowan said, in terms of the plaintiff's apples-to-oranges comparison, he's comparing the totality of the absentee-ballot rejections from 2018. As our brief response makes clear, the General Assembly made a policy decision following the 2018 election to change the evaluation of absentee ballots partially due to identity theft concerns and the fact that voters felt uncomfortable putting their date of birth on the outside of the envelope. The General Assembly took that off the outer envelope where it was no longer visible to anyone during the mail transmission. That resulted in a significant decrease in the percentage of absentee ballots that were rejected at the outset. There were quite a number in 2018 that were rejected for that missing information.

In terms of when you actually do an apples-to-apples comparison - and it is referenced in Chris Harvey's affidavit that we will be moving into evidence, it's an exhibit in our brief response - when you actually look at ballots from 2018 that were rejected signature match and you look at ballots from 2020, after the cure period, those numbers are identical in terms of --

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, if he continues on he's going to become a fact witness.

MR. WILLARD: I am referencing what is in our affidavit, Your Honor. It is in our brief response, as well. I

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken down by me in the case aforesaid. This the 23rd day of November, 2020. /S/ Alicia B. Bagley ALICIA B. BAGLEY, RMR, CRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER (706) 378-4017 

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

V.	rlaintiff(s),  fendant(s)	) ) Case I ) ) )	No <u>.</u>	
<u>NOTIC</u>	E OF FILING	OF OFFICIA	L TRANSCRIPT	
Notice is hereby give court reporter/transcriber in days from the date of deliver Redaction of this transcript. remotely electronically available.  Any counsel or party may purchase a copy from the Office public terminal.	the above-capti ry of the transcr If no Request lable to the pub	ioned matter. Cript to the Clerk for Redaction is lic without redaction of the transcript	Counsel/Parties have to file with the Co s filed, the transcri- action after 90 cales opt to review for rec	e twenty-one (21) ourt a Request for pt may be made ndar days.
Date		Court R	eporter	
<u>VERIFI</u>	CATION OF F	FINANCIAL A	RRANGEMENTS	<u>S</u>
Proceeding Type:				
Proceeding Date:				
Volume Number:				
Notice is hereby give made with the following ind				
as counsel/party in this case. CM/ECF and PACER.	. He/She is to b	pe provided with	h remote access to	the transcript via
Date		Court F	Reporter	